

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,909	11/27/2001	Subir K. Dey	3691-313	7675
7	590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			CHEN, VIVIAN	
8th Floor 1100 North Gle	ebe Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA	. 22201		1773 DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, .					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan.	09/993,909	DEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vivian Chen	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, howev ply within the statutory minin I will apply and will expire S te, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed  mum of thirty (30) days will be considered tim IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	September 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 and 25-34 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 and 25-34 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from considera				
Application Papers	1				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b)  objeed rawing(s) be held inction is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domessince a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language priority Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the service of the s	ats have been received that have been received that have been received that the certified coption of the sentence of the service of the servi	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National a)). bies not received. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision specification or in an Application n has been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	nal application) on Data Sheet. se a specific		
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Ir	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	o(s)		
Notice of References Clied (PTO-692)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	lotice of Informal Patent Application (P			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/993,909

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 12-24 have been cancelled by Applicant.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-11, 25-34 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

## **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-4, 9-11, 25-30 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-34 of copending Application No. 09/993,908 (DEY ET AL) in view of HWANG ET AL (US 5,883,188).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/993,909

Art Unit: 1773

Copending Application No. 09/993,908 claims the recited laminate, layers, color and transparency characteristics, and articles made from said laminates. However, the copending Application does not explicitly claim the recited maleic anhydride modified styrene block copolymers polymer.

HWANG ET AL discloses that it is well known in the art to modify styrene block copolymers such as SEBS with up to 5 wt% maleic anhydride (claims 1-4, 27) in order to form interlayers with improved adhesion to paint or coatings.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the styrenic block copolymer tie layer claimed in the copending application with maleic anhydride in order to improve the adhesive properties of the layer.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/30849 (hereinafter WO '849);

in view of SMITH ET AL (US 6,187,233);

Application/Control Number: 09/993,909

Art Unit: 1773

and in view of GERLOWSKI ET AL (US 4,996,086) or MACHADO ET AL (US 5,369,180).

WO '849 discloses a multilayer laminate comprising a polyketone layer, a tie layer, and a thermoplastic polyolefin layer, wherein the tie layer comprises a maleic anhydride-modified SEBS block copolymer optionally modified with a diamine compound as recited in claims 2-8, 31-34. However, the reference does not explicitly disclose a laminate with a clear coat layer or automotive trim components.

SMITH ET AL discloses that it is well known in the art to obtain thermoformable laminates comprising a colored base substrate and a upper clear coat layer having a transparency of preferably 90% in order to produce durable automotive trim articles (abstract, line 37, col. 8 to line 10, col. 9).

GERLOWSKI ET AL or MACHADO ET AL each disclose that polyketones are capable of forming transparent or clear layers and films. (GERLOWSKI ET AL, lines 3-10, col. 6)

(MACHADO ET AL, lines 65-68, col. 6)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a transparent polyketone polymer as a protective clear coat surface layer in the laminate of WO '849 in order to form laminates suitable for forming durable and colorful automotive trim components.

3. Claims 1-4, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HWANG ET AL (US 5,883,188) in view of SPAIN ET AL (US 5,284,693).

Application/Control Number: 09/993,909

Art Unit: 1773

Page 5

HWANG ET AL discloses multilayer laminate comprising a paintable layer and a thermoplastic polyolefin layer, wherein the paintable layer comprises a maleic anhydride-modified SEBS block as recited in claims 2-4. (columns 6-7) However, the reference does not explicitly disclose a laminate with a clear coat layer or automotive trim components. that it is well known in the art to modify styrene block copolymers such as SEBS with up to 5 wt% maleic anhydride (claims 1-4, 27) in order to form interlayers with improved adhesion to paint or coatings.

SPAIN ET AL discloses that it is well known in the art to use polyvinyl fluoride as a clear coat layer over a colored underlayer of a thermoformable laminate in order to improve durability and weatherability (lines 20-32, col. 11).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a known polyvinyl fluoride top coating to the paintable layer of HWANG ET AL in order to provide a protective clear coated thermoformable article.

### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3551. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

December 1, 2003

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773